wo

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

	ופות	RICT OF ARIZONA	
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Carlos Ramirez-Mejia	Case Number: <u>13-01431M-001</u>	
and was re	ance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 apresented by counsel. I conclude by a prependant pending trial in this case.	142(f), a detention hearing was held on April 15, 2013. Defendant was present conderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention	
	preponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
I iii a by a	• •	United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
<u> </u>		The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.	
<b>\S</b>	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant co	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
×	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to a	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.	
	☐ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximum	of years imprisonment.	
	]		
That the time	he Court incorporates by reference the mate of the hearing in this matter, except as no	erial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court oted in the record.	
		CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
1.	There is a serious risk that the defer	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.	
2.	No condition or combination of cond	litions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	
	DIRECT	IONS REGARDING DETENTION	
Th	he defendant is committed to the custody o	f the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in	

a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

## APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: April 15, 2013

JAMES F. METCALF United States Magistrate Judge